THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE B.PRAKASH RAO

AND

THE HONBLE SRI JUSTICE P.LAKSHMANA REDDY

WRIT APPEAL NO.63 OF 2006

DATED: 25-01-2006

Between

K.Prabhakar son of Papaiah,

Aged about 38 yrs, employee

No.113821,Tech (M) (S-5),E.S.

& Fdy.Dept.,Works division,

Visakhapatnam Steel plant,

Visakhapatnam.

….Appellant

And

1.The Visakhapatnam Steel plant,

Visakhapatnam rep. By its General

Manager (Maintenance) & Reviewing Authority

and 2 others

…Respondents

JUDGMENT (Per Sri B.Prakash Rao, J)

Heard both the counsel and at their request, the main appeal itself is taken up for disposal.

The appellant is the unsuccessful writ petitioner who seeks to assail the impugned order whereby he was imposed punishment after holding regular enquiry on certain charges to the effect that the bill submitted by him pertaining to the year 2003 is not found to be correct and valid.

Admittedly, in this case, the authorities including the learned single Judge did not find favour with the theory put forth by the appellant and infact the finding is that the treatment was stated to be in the year 2003, whereas the hospital was wound up in the year 2002 itself. It is also observed at all levels that there is no material to support the plea of the appellant. Having regard to the finding of fact as arrived at, at all levels and especially in the absence of any material in support of the appellant's claim, we do not find any merits in this appeal.

Accordingly, the writ appeal is dismissed. No costs.

B.PRAKASH RAO, J

Dated: 25-01-2006.

Hsd

P.LAKSHMANA REDDY, J